

<b>PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE</b>	<b>AGENDA ITEM No. 6</b>
<b>26 JANUARY 2010</b>	<b>PUBLIC REPORT</b>

Cabinet Member(s) responsible:	Councillor Piers Croft - Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning, Growth and Human Resources Councillor Peter Hiller - Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods, Housing and Community Development	
Contact Officer(s):	Gemma Wildman	Tel: 863824

**PETERBOROUGH LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK - PETERBOROUGH SITE ALLOCATIONS DOCUMENT (PREFERRED OPTIONS VERSION)**

<b>R E C O M M E N D A T I O N S</b>	
<b>FROM:</b> Deputy Chief Executive	<b>Deadline date:</b> N/A
Members are asked to offer any comments on the draft Peterborough Site Allocations Development Plan Document - Preferred Options before it is submitted to Cabinet for approval for the purposes of public participation.	

**1. ORIGIN OF REPORT**

1.1 This report is submitted to Committee following approval of the Council's Local Development Scheme by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

**2. PURPOSE AND REASON FOR REPORT**

2.1 The purpose of this report is to enable the Committee to comment on the Site Allocations Development Plan Document (Preferred Options Version) (hereafter referred to as the 'Site Allocations Document') before it is presented to Cabinet on 8<sup>th</sup> February for approval for public consultation in March 2010.

2.2 The latest draft of the Site Allocations Document is available on the Council's web site at <http://democracy.peterborough.gov.uk/ecSDDisplay.aspx?NAME=Site+Allocations+Document&ID=255&RPID=93187&sch=doc&cat=12992&path=12992> and copies have been placed in the Members group rooms.

2.3 Attached to this report at Appendix A is list of all sites submitted to the council including a summary of comments received during the Issues and Options consultation in October 2008.

2.4 This report is for the committee to consider under its terms of reference No. 2.61.5 of part 3, section 2, of the Constitution "To be consulted by, and comment on, the Executive's draft proposals for Local Development Documents within the Local Development Framework at each formal stage in preparation".

### 3. **TIMESCALE**

Is this a Major Policy Item/Statutory Plan?	<b>YES</b>	If Yes, date of relevant Council Meeting	<b>Late 2010 or early 2011 (but not this version of the Document)</b>
		Date of Submission to Government Dept	<b>Spring 2011</b>

### 4. **PETERBOROUGH LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK: PETERBOROUGH SITE ALLOCATIONS DOCUMENT (PREFERRED OPTIONS VERSION)**

#### **Introduction**

- 4.1 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 introduced a new system of plan-making, which is known as the Local Development Framework (LDF). One of the documents that the Council must produce as part of the LDF is the Site Allocations Document, which itself sits beneath (and takes its lead from) the 'Peterborough Core Strategy'.
- 4.2 The Core Strategy, which Members will recall is well advanced in its preparation, sets out the vision, objectives and overall strategy for the development of Peterborough up to 2026, together with a limited number of policies that are core to achieving or delivering that strategy. The Core Strategy is accompanied by a 'key diagram' which shows pictorially some of the key elements of Peterborough's development strategy, but it does not have a 'proposals map' drawn on an Ordnance Survey base. This is the primary role of the Site Allocations Document.
- 4.3 Thus, the detailed site boundaries of all allocations (for example, housing, employment, safeguarded land, district centres, and many more) are being proposed through the Site Allocations Document.
- 4.4 There is, however, one exception to this rule: all land within the City Centre is excluded from the Site Allocations Document as any detailed allocations for new development in this location will be determined via the forthcoming City Centre Area Action Plan (CCAAP).

#### **Preferred Options**

- 4.5 The regulations and guidance on the preparation of documents within the LDF provide for various stages, with differing opportunities for public involvement at each stage. More recently, Government has relaxed some of those regulations, making it more flexible when and how LDF documents are prepared and consulted. Nevertheless, whilst not strictly required, it is common practice for LDF documents such as the Site Allocations Document to reach a key stage known as the 'Preferred Options'. At this stage, the Council must show what options for allocating land have been considered, which land is its preference for allocating, and why. In effect, this gives consultees a clear idea of where the Council is heading in terms of its finished Site Allocations Document, subject to consideration of all the responses that are received on the 'preferred options' version.

#### **Summary of the Recommended Preferred Options**

- 4.6 Many of the recommended Preferred Options for the Site Allocations Document are derived from the Core Strategy, but a great deal of additional work has been undertaken by Planning Officers in direct consultation with stakeholders, other Council Departments, Government agencies, utility companies and private individuals. It is a statutory requirement that policies must be subject to formal sustainability appraisal (incorporating strategic environmental assessment), and, if necessary, Habitats Regulations Assessment, and this is a continuing, iterative process that also contributes to decisions on the recommended preferred options. In summary, the outcome of all of this work is a Site Allocations Document which stems from the Core Strategy agreed by the Council.

- 4.7 In this section some of the key features of the Preferred Options are summarised.
- 4.8 **Housing** – The Core Strategy requires the Site Allocations Document to identify ‘new’ land (i.e. in addition to land already committed for development, such as Hampton and Paston Reserve) to accommodate 16,200 dwellings between 2009 and 2026. Only land capable of accommodating 10 or more dwellings are allocated (with all smaller sites classed as ‘windfall’ sites and not officially allocated in advance on a Proposals Map).
- 4.9 Whilst numerous sites are proposed, some of the larger and/or potentially contentious allocations include:
- Great Haddon – 5,350 dwellings (Broadly identified through the Core Strategy)
  - Norwood – 2,300 dwellings (Broadly identified through the Core Strategy)
  - District Centres - 1,000 dwellings in total (Bretton, Hampton, Milfield, Orton and Werrington)
  - St Augustines walk/Oundle Road Allotments – 45 dwellings
  - Felton High Street Allotment Land – 154 dwellings
  - Land off Itter Crescent – 25 dwellings
  - East of Eye Development Area – 250 dwellings
- 4.10 **Employment** – In order to provide a degree of flexibility and variety of sites for potential inward investors, the Core Strategy requires a range of 95.5 to 125.5 hectares of ‘new’ land capable of accommodating new employment development. A significant amount of this land has been broadly identified in the Core Strategy through the urban extensions of Great Haddon (65ha) and Norwood (2ha). The Core Strategy also identified the Regional Freight Interchange at Stanground, though this is a ‘regional’ allocation rather than an allocation to meet our local targets.
- 4.11 The Site Allocations DPD is therefore required to (a) identify the precise boundaries of the above Core Strategy broad allocations; and (b) identify a further 23 – 53 ha of ‘new’ land.
- 4.12 The principal locations for this new employment development are recommended to be:
- Red Brick Farm - 30.0 ha (approx)
  - Oxney North – 8.0 ha
  - Perkins North - 3.4 ha
- 4.13 **Gypsy, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople** – The Regional Spatial Strategy requires Peterborough to provide 55 permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches between 2006 and 2021. Eight pitches are committed and a further 30 pitches have been identified through the Core Strategy (15 at Great Haddon and 15 at Norwood). Therefore the Site Allocations Document must identify sites for the remaining 14 pitches. Through detailed assessments the following sites are recommended:
- East of Eye Development Area - 10 Permanent Pitches, and 6 travelling showpeople pitches (part of a wider housing and employment allocation)
  - Land West of Peterborough Road, Farcet – 4 Permanent Pitches (part of a wider housing allocation)
  - Land adjacent to Norwood Lane – A Transit site able to accommodate 10 plots.
- 4.14 **Safeguarding Land** - To deliver the growth proposed in the Core Strategy and identified through the Site Allocations Document will require the provision of key infrastructure such as new roads and junction improvements. Some of this infrastructure may not be viable in the short term but is likely to be crucial to the future development of the City, therefore it is vital that the land required to provide this infrastructure is safeguarded from other forms of development.

4.15 It also safeguards land as 'green wedges', safeguards the Hampton Country Park, and introduces three new allocations to be known as 'Character Areas' (Wothorpe, Thorpe Road and Ashton) where additional conservation principles will be applied.

4.16 **Other allocations (summary)** - As well as allocating land for future development, this document also identifies (or reconfirms existing) boundaries for:

- The Urban Area
- The City Centre (CCAAP boundary)
- The District Centre
- Local Centres
- The Villages (Village Envelopes)

## **5. CONSULTATION**

5.1 Consultation on the Issues and Options report has been touched upon above and can be summarised as:

- October 2007 – developers and land owners asked to suggest sites and land for development
- October 2008 – January 2009 – Issues and Options consultation on all sites submitted to the council

Detailed site assessments have then been carried out by officers in the Planning Policy Team and the emerging recommendations have been considered by the Local Development Framework Scrutiny Group (17<sup>th</sup> December 2009). Comments from that Scrutiny Group have been considered in the final preparation of the Document, though none were of a fundamental nature (i.e. they were predominantly matters of detail or site specific).

## **6. ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES**

6.1 It is anticipated that the Committee will offer comments on the draft document, with such comments presented to Cabinet. Cabinet will then be requested to approve the Site Allocations Document for public consultation in March 2010.

## **7. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

7.1 Committee is recommended to make its comments known to assist Cabinet in reaching its decision.

## **8. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

8.1 It is a statutory requirement to produce the Site Allocations document therefore the alternative option of not producing this document was rejected.

8.2 Alternative sites could have been recommended for development, but this would have meant such sites were either or both: (a) contrary to the Core Strategy, and (b) contrary to sustainable development principles.

## **9. IMPLICATIONS**

9.1 The Site Allocations will have implications for all sectors of the community throughout the Local Authority area.

9.2 **Legal Implications** - The Council must follow due Regulations in preparing the Site Allocations Document. Eventually, once the final development sites are adopted in 2011, the Council has a legal duty to determine planning applications in accordance with those allocations.

9.3 **Financial Implications** - There are no immediate financial implications flowing from the approval of the Site Allocations (Preferred Options Version), simply because this is not the 'final' plan. However, Members should be aware of two future financial implications:

- (a) The Council, as landowner, submitted a number of possible sites for development. Following an open and transparent appraisal of all sites, some of those sites are been recommended for development whilst others have been rejected (normally for reasons of loss of open space in an area with deficiencies). To be clear, all Council (Estates Section) promoted sites have been appraised and treated like all other developer and landowner suggested sites. The allocation or not of each Council owned site could have a financial implication on the value of that site.
- (b) there could be indirect financial implications arising from the development of sites (e.g. provision of infrastructure and services for the new residents, s106 arrangements, and increased council tax or other receipts).

## 10. **BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

Used to prepare this report, in accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985)

- Peterborough Local Development Framework Site Allocations Document (Issues and Options October 2008)
- Peterborough Core Strategy Proposed Submission Version (as approved by Council December 2009 and scheduled for publication in January 2010).

This page is intentionally left blank